IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

KENNETH SNYDER,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 12-2723-JAR-DJW

WILLIAM JOHNSON, et al.,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Plaintiff commenced this action against his former employer alleging damages for violations of the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act ("USERRA"). This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Order Waiving Fees and Costs (ECF No. 3). Plaintiff requests an order under USERRA, specifically 38 U.S.C. § 4323(h)(1), waiving the filing fees and other court costs for which he would be liable as the plaintiff in this action. In support of his motion, he states that he raises causes of action under the USERRA and he has been a member of the "uniformed services" as defined in the USERRA.

Congress enacted USERRA to "prohibit discrimination against persons because of their service in the uniformed services." The statute is therefore liberally construed in favor of veterans who seek its protections.³ The term "service in the uniformed services" is defined to mean:

performance of duty on a voluntary or involuntary basis in a uniformed service under competent authority and includes active duty, active duty for training, initial active

² 38 U.S.C. § 4301(a)(3).

¹ 38 U.S.C. § 4301 et seq.

³ Ragland v. Univ. of Kan. Hosp., 08-2379-EFM, 2009 WL 270231, at *1 (D. Kan. Feb. 4, 2009) (citing Davis v. Advocate Health Ctr. Patient Care Express, 523 F.3d 681, 683-84 (7th Cir. 2008)).

duty for training, inactive duty training, full-time National Guard duty, a period for which a person is absent from a position of employment for the purpose of an examination to determine the fitness of the person to perform any such duty.⁴

Pertinent to the current motion, section 4323(h)(1) of USERRA provides that "[n]o fees or court costs may be charged or taxed against any person claiming rights under this chapter." The phrase "fees or court costs" has been interpreted to include normal litigation costs such as filing fees. In the seminal USERRA filing fee case, *Davis v. Advocate Health Center Patient Care Express*, the Seventh Circuit construed 38 U.S.C. § 4323(h)(1) to exempt a plaintiff from the necessity of paying a filing fee. In *Davis*, the Seventh Circuit rejected the district court's ruling that USERRA's bar against fees and costs did not encompass filing fees to initiate litigation. The Seventh Circuit based its ruling on the fact that USERRA was enacted in order to "prohibit discrimination against persons because of their service in the uniformed service," and as such the statute should be construed "liberally in favor of veterans seeking its protections." The *Davis* court further noted that initial filing fees are plainly the type of fees contemplated, for example, by 28

⁴ 38 U.S.C. § 4303(13)

⁵ 38 U.S.C. § 4323(h)(1).

⁶ See Davis, 523 F.3d at 685 (holding that 38 U.S.C. § 4323(h)(1) permits a USERRA litigant to initiate suit without prepaying the filing fee); *Ragland*, 2009 WL 270231, at *1 (granting refund of filing fee under USERRA); *Fincher v. Georgia Pac.*, *LLC*, No. 108-CV-3839-JOF, 2009 WL 1075269, at *1 (N.D. Ga. Apr. 21, 2009) (same); *Seeton v. Thermadyne Holdings Corp.*, No. 4:09-CV-147, 2009 WL 1025323, at *1 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 15, 2009) (granting plaintiff's motion for exemption from filing fee under USERRA).

⁷ 523 F.3d at 684-85.

⁸ *Id.* at 683.

⁹ *Id.* at 683-84 (quotations and citations omitted).

U.S.C. § 1920, and therefore, the "fees" or "court costs" referred to in § 4323(h) should encompass initial filing fees. ¹⁰

Here, the Court finds that Plaintiff is a qualified member of the "uniformed services" as defined in USERRA. Plaintiff alleges in his Complaint (ECF No. 1) that he has been a member of the United States Air National Guard for nine years. The Court also finds that Plaintiff has asserted claims for violations under USERRA. He alleges that his employer fired him about a week after he returned from active duty with the Guard and about five months after he returned from a two-month deployment. He asserts two claims—(1) violation of the protections afforded by the USERRA, and (2) retaliation in violation of USERRA. Like the courts in the *Davis* and *Ragland* cases, the Court here finds that the phrase "fees or court costs" in 38 U.S.C. § 4323(h)(1) includes normal litigation costs such as the filing fee. The Court, however, makes no finding on whether this phrase would apply to "all other court costs associated with this action," as requested by Plaintiff. The Court therefore finds that the Plaintiff's motion should be granted to the extent that it seeks waiver of fees and costs not chargeable to him under § 4323(h)(1), including the \$350 filing fee required to institute a civil action under 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT Plaintiff Kenneth Snyder's Motion for Order Waiving Fees and Costs (ECF No. 3) is granted in part and denied in part. The Court hereby waives the filing fee in this action and other fees or costs that are not chargeable to him under 38 U.S.C. § 4323(h)(1). Plaintiff may proceed with this case without prepayment of the \$350 filing fee pursuant to § 4323(h)(1). The Court denies the motion to the extent it seeks waiver of unspecified fees or costs that are not encompassed by that statute.

¹⁰ *Id.* at 684.

Dated in Kansas City, Kansas on this 19th day of November, 2012.

s/ David J. Waxse
David J. Waxse
United States Magistrate Judge